

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

A LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING LAW CORPORATIONS

ONE BARTON SKYWAY
 1501 SOUTH MOPAC, SUITE 250
 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78746
 (512) 330-0844 TELEPHONE
 (512) 330-0476 FAX

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL
[PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION]

TO: EXAMINER JOSE ALCALA

FROM: JOHN TRAVIS

COMPANY: US PTO

DATE: MAY 12, 2003

FAX NUMBER: 703-872-9318

TOTAL NO. OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER):
10

PHONE NUMBER: 703-305-9844

U.S. PATENT APPLICATION SERIAL NO.:
09/751,526

GROUP ART UNIT:

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.:
42390P10081

TITLE:

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION OF:
MARCH 25, 2003

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on:

5-12-03

(Date of Transmission)

JOHN TRAVIS

(Typed or printed name of person faxing correspondence)

John Travis

(Signature of person faxing correspondence)

RECEIVED

MAY 12 2003

TECHNOLGY INNOVATION

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information from the law firm of Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman which is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
Marketkar et al.)
Serial No. 09/751,526) Group Art: 2827
Filed: 12-29-2000) Examiner: Alcala, J.
For: Electromagnetic Coupler Alignment)

)

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner of Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Dear Sir,

In response to the Office Action dated March 25, 2003, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections and objections to the disclosure. The claims are presented unchanged, as the rejections appear to address claims that are not in this application at all, but rather are in a different application. Details are given in the REMARKS section.